REMARKS

In response to the Claim Objections and Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, Applicant has amended the corresponding claims following the Examiner's subjections.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 or claims 6-9 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,652,048 ('048). Claims 1-6 & 12-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,652,048 in view of Cook et al..

In response to the rejections under double patenting, Applicant submits herewith a duly signed Terminal Disclaimer by which the rejections should be overcome.

In addition, as described in claim 16 or claim 12 of the current application, in assembling, the cage cooperates with the cover to substantially veil an interior of the enclosure **except one side opposite to the side panel**. Neither claims 1-9 of '048 nor Cook et al. discloses this feature. Therefore the claims 12 and 16 of current application should be patentably distinguished from the cited references, and should be allowable. The dependent claims 13-14 and 17 should also be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted, Chen, Yun-Lung Appl. No. 10/082,993 Amdt Dated Mar. 23, 2004

Reply to Office Action of Dec. 23, 2003

Registration No.: 43,325

Wer Te Chung

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137